



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,960	05/22/2006	Kenjiro Ueda	112857-767	9679
29175 7590 10/29/2008 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690			EXAMINER SQUIRES, BRETT S	
			ART UNIT 2431	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/29/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/595,960	<b>Applicant(s)</b> UEDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BRETT SQUIRES	<b>Art Unit</b> 2431	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☒ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/22/06</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

***Priority***

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on December 7, 2004. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the JP 2004-353637 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 9, 18, 27, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

4. Claim 9 recites the limitation "the MPEG encoded data" in page 97 line 5. Claim 18 recites the limitation "the MPEG encoded data" in page 100 line 6. Claim 27 recites the limitation "the MPEG encoded data" in page 103 line 23. Claim 34 recites the limitation "the MPEG encoded data" in page 106 line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

5. Claims 9, 18, 27, and 34 recite the improper Markush Group of "data which includes at least one of the following: (1) a portion of I-picture slice encoded data included in the MPEG encoded data, (2) a portion of the sequence header, and (3) PID data storing the data-type information within the transport stream packet." The use of "includes" when claiming a Markush Group is improper because "includes" allows for

Art Unit: 2431

inclusion of additional unrecited elements, and therefore renders the Markush Group indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.

6. Claim 33 recites the limitation "the encrypting processing in CBC mode which executes with data," in page 105 lines 18-19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claims 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 37 recites "a computer program for executing content recording processing to an information recording medium," this recitation is functional descriptive material and does not fall into at least one of the four statutory classes defined by 35 U.S.C. 101. Claim 38 recites "a computer program for executing playback processing of the content recorded on the information recording medium," this recitation is functional descriptive material and does not fall into at least one of the four statutory classes defined by 35 U.S.C. 101. A computer program, such as the recited program for executing content processing and the program executing playback processing, only impart functionality when employed as a computer component, such as when a computer program is recorded on a computer readable medium. If a claim covers material not found in any of those four categories, then the claim falls outside the plainly expressed scope of 35 U.S.C. 101, even if the subject

Art Unit: 2431

matter is otherwise new and useful. *See In re Nuijten 84 USPQ2d 1495 (Fed. Cir. 2007)*

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-11, 13, 16-20, 22, 25-28, 30, and 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Markandey et al. (US 6,526,144).

Regarding Claims 1, 10, 19, 28, and 35:

Markandey discloses a data protection system for PC equipment having a scramble rule acquiring step for acquiring a scramble rule ("Scramble Pattern" See col. 10 lines 22-43) to apply to the content to be recorded on the information recording medium ("Compressed data to be stored on DVD is subjected to a scrambling process and then stored in the scrambled order on the DVD." See col. 10 lines 22-30), a scrambling processing step for executing the scrambling processing as to the content according to the scramble rule acquired in the scramble rule acquiring step ("Data corresponding to each pack is scrambled by interchanging the order of the various digital bits according to a scramble pattern." See col. 10 lines 22-30), and a step for recording the scrambled content generated in the scrambling processing step and the scramble rule applied to the content onto an information recording medium ("The package medium further comprises a plurality of machine-readable scramble codes and

Art Unit: 2431

each of the plurality of machine-readable scramble codes corresponds to one of the plurality of data quantities and indicates a manner of descrambling the corresponding one of the plurality of data quantities.” See col. 2 lines 22-31).

Regarding Claims 2, 11, 20, and 36-38:

Markandey discloses the scramble rule acquiring step is a step for acquiring individual scramble rules for each recording content or for each management unit in the event of a plurality of content to be recorded to the information recording medium (“The package medium further comprises a plurality of machine-readable scramble codes and each of the plurality of machine-readable scramble codes corresponds to one of the plurality of data quantities and indicates a manner of descrambling the corresponding one of the plurality of data quantities.” See col. 2 lines 22-31).

Regarding Claims 4, 13, 22, and 30:

Markandey discloses the scrambling processing executed in the scrambling processing step is shuffling processing of shuffle elements which are set as content-comprising data (“The output bit order illustrates a corresponding scramble pattern showing how the customary ordering is re-arranged in a different order.” See col. 10 lines 31-65) and wherein the scramble rule is data which describes the shuffle state of the shuffle elements (“Scramble Pattern” See col. 10 lines 31-43).

Regarding Claims 7, 16, 25, and 33:

Markandey discloses an encrypting processing step for executing encrypting processing of the recorded content of the information recording medium, after executing the scrambling processing step, or before executing the same (“Cryptography

Art Unit: 2431

techniques such as Data Encryption Standard and Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange algorithms may be nested with data scrambling." See col. 3 lines 13-39).

Regarding Claims 8, 17, and 26:

Markandey discloses the scrambling processing executed in the scrambling processing step is a shuffling processing of the shuffled elements which are set as content-comprising data ("The output bit order illustrates a corresponding scramble pattern showing how the customary ordering is re-arranged in a different order." See col. 10 lines 31-65) and wherein the encrypting processing executed in the encrypting processing step is encrypting processing in CBC mode executed on data units the same size as that of the shuffled elements ("Data encryption is performed using DES to encrypt 64-bit blocks in CBC mode." See col. 4 lines 4-16 and "The scramble pattern can be for m bits of data." See col. 11 lines 4-6).

Regarding Claims 9, 18, 27, and 34:

Markandey discloses the data for processing which is executed in the scrambling processing in the scrambling processing step is data which includes at least one of the following: a portion of I-picture slice encoded data included in the MPEG encoded data ("The data protection system carries MPEG-2 transport packet video data." See col. 5 lines 55-67), a portion of the sequence header ("The formatted data stream comprises a plurality of headers." See col. 2 lines 6-21), and PID data storing the data-type information within the transport stream packet ("The format ID value for MPEG transport stream." See col. 6 lines 4-15).

Art Unit: 2431

11. Claims 1, 9-10, 18-19, 27-28, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishibashi (US 6,021,199).

Regarding Claims 1, 10, 19, 28, and 35:

Ishibashi discloses a motion picture data encrypting method having a scramble rule acquiring step for acquiring a scramble rule ("The scramble data represents the calculation rule of scramble processing performed for the I-picture" See col. 4 lines 57-59)) to apply to the content to be recorded on the information recording medium, a scrambling processing step for executing the scrambling processing as to the content according to the scramble rule acquired in the scramble rule acquiring step (The I-picture is scrambled by a predetermined calculation rule." See col. 4 lines 10-12), and a step for recording the scrambled content generated in the scrambling processing step and the scramble rule applied to the content onto an information recording medium ("Scramble Data" and "Scrambled I-picture" See figs. 2 and 3).

Regarding Claims 9, 18, 2, and 34:

Ishibashi discloses the data for processing which is executed in the scrambling processing in the scrambling processing step is data which includes at least one of the following: a portion of I-picture slice encoded data included in the MPEG encoded data ("The I-picture is subjected to encryption such as scramble processing." See col. 3 lines 4-5), a portion of the sequence header ("The stream header portion includes scramble data representing the location of the scrambled image data." See col. 4 lines 12-17), and PID data storing the data-type information within the transport stream packet.



Art Unit: 2431

12. Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 10, 12, 14-15, 19, 21, 23-24, 28-29, 31-32, and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yorke-Smith (US 5,548,648).

Regarding Claims 1, 10, 19, 28, and 35-38:

Yorke-Smith discloses a data encrypting method having a scramble rule acquiring step for acquiring a scramble rule ("Control block containing Encryption Key K" See col. 3 lines 25-42 and col. 6 lines 31-35) to apply to the content to be recorded on the information recording medium ("A disc drive having means for writing a record containing the encrypted data to an encrypted data file." See fig. 7 ref. no. DD and col. 6 lines 16-31), a scrambling processing step for executing the scrambling processing as to the content according to the scramble rule acquired in the scramble rule acquiring step ("The encryption function in conjunction with an encryption key translates each byte of the data segment into a corresponding encrypted byte" See col. 3 lines 55-65), and a step for recording the scrambled content generated in the scrambling processing step and the scramble rule applied to the content onto an information recording medium ("The encryption key used to encrypt the data is provided within a control block." See col. 2 lines 29-36 and "The encrypted data comprises a control block and an encrypted data block. A disc drive having means for writing a record containing the encrypted data to an encrypted data file." See fig. 7 ref. no. DD and col. 6 lines 16-31).

Regarding Claims 3, 12, 21, and 29:

Yorke-Smith discloses the scrambling processing step is a step for performing processing to replace at least one portion of the content data to be recorded to the information recording medium ("The disc drive contains a storage medium storing a file

Art Unit: 2431

containing data to be encrypted.” See col. 6 lines 15-19) and wherein the scramble rule includes data which points to the position to which the content data is to be replaced (“The control block contains the values S and L2 used to identify the encrypted data segment.” See col. 5 lines 31-35).

Regarding Claims 5, 14, 23, and 31:

Yorke-Smith discloses the scrambling processing executed in the scrambling processing step is exclusive-or computing processing of the content-comprising data and a previously set settings value (“A second random number from a second predetermined range to select an encryption key.” See col. 4 lines 23-31) or a value calculated based on this settings value, and wherein the scramble rule is data describing the settings value (“Encrypted Data Segment = K exclusive-or D” See col. 3 line 63).

Regarding Claims 6, 15, 24, and 32:

Yorke-Smith discloses the scrambling processing executed in the scrambling processing step is a rotating processing of the content-comprising data, and wherein the scramble rule is data describing a shift amount in the rotation (“Encrypted Data Segment = shift left data by K bits” See col. 3 lines 64).

13. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shunichi et al. (GB 2304009 A).

Shunichi discloses an information recording medium (“Recording Medium” See page 7 lines 19-32) for storing recorded data (“A plurality of signals” See page 7 lines

Art Unit: 2431

19-32) as scrambled content having scrambling processing executed according to a scramble rule set for each recording content or for every management unit ("Each of said plurality of signals scrambled by a respective one of a plurality of different scramble data," See page 7 lines 19-32) and the scramble rule applied to the scrambled content ("Recording said plurality of signal scrambled each by a respective one of said plurality of different scramble data on a recording medium," See page 7 lines 19-32).

### ***Conclusion***

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRETT SQUIRES whose telephone number is (571) 272-8021. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am - 6:00pm Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2431

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BS/

/Christopher A. Revak/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431